ED286938 1984-00-00 Alternatives to Standardized Tests. ERIC Digest.

ERIC Development Team

www.eric.ed.gov

Table of Contents

If you're viewing this document online, you can click any of the topics below to link directly to that section.

Alternatives to Standardized Tests. ERIC Digest......1



ERIC Identifier: ED286938
Publication Date: 1984-00-00
Author: Wildemuth, Barbara M.

Source: ERIC Clearinghouse on Tests Measurement and Evaluation Princeton NJ.

Alternatives to Standardized Tests. ERIC Digest.

THIS DIGEST WAS CREATED BY ERIC, THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER. FOR MORE INFORMATION ABOUT ERIC, CONTACT ACCESS ERIC 1-800-LET-ERIC

The use of standardized tests by schools is commonly criticized. The tests do not necessarily test what students have learned. They do not reveal what the student has achieved.

Critics have further suggested that alternatives to standardized tests be developed and used for more effective student evaluation. Such alternatives have included criterion-referenced tests, teacher-made tests, contract grading, interviews with students and their parents, and detailed documentation of a student's accomplishments. Each of these alternatives is described briefly below.

CRITERION-REFERENCED TESTS



Criterion-referenced tests are designed to evaluate the accomplishment of specifically stated instructional objectives. They may resemble norm-referenced tests in format and in type of administration and scoring. They differ in the way they are interpreted: on a criterion-referenced test, a student's performance is evaluated in terms of the performance of the other students taking the test. In this way, criterion-referenced tests can be more useful to teachers in identifying and planning remedial instruction in the areas in which an individual student of the entire class has demonstrated weaknesses.

TEACHER-MADE TESTS

Teacher-made tests are advocated because they can be tailored to specific curricula or specific needs for information about students. Generally, teacher-made tests are criterion-referenced and are designed to measure students' mastery of the material being taught. They can provide information on small units of instruction not covered by standardized tests. For best results, teachers require opportunities for training in the development and use of tests.

CONTRACT GRADING

In a contract grading system, the teacher and student agree at the beginning of a unit on particular course objectives to be fulfilled by the student, on the support to be provided by the instructor, and on how the results will be evaluated. The contract provides a form of record keeping that documents student achievement in relation to specified objectives. Upon completion of a contract, teacher and student cooperatively evaluate the work, choose new assignments, and seek to clarify previous or newly stated objectives. One caution is in order: a contract should be used as a process for learning rather than merely an instrument for getting a job done.

INTERVIEWS

Interviews with students and parents can also yield information useful in evaluating the student's progress. An interview with a student can be as specific as a teacher sitting with a child and asking him or her to share information about how to solve particular mathematics problems. Or it may be broad and probe classroom activities, student-peer interaction, classroom problems, and teacher and school goals. Parent interviews may yield unique ways of looking at their child's progress and promote a renewed interest in their child's learning.

OTHER DOCUMENTATION

Documentation of students and their work should include examples of the child's work (such as drawings or photos), the child's journal, the child's notebook or written work, the teacher's weekly records and assessment of the child's work, the teacher's reports to the parents, and sociograms. Extensive descriptions of the child's total involvement in the learning process should be included. Analysis of such documentation can provide



ERIC Resource Center www.eric.ed.gov

meaningful evaluation of children's learning.

FOR MORE INFORMATION

Muir, Sharon, and Wells, Candace. "Informal Evaluation." SOCIAL STUDIES 74 (1983):95-99.

Quinto, Frances, and McKenna, Bernard. ALTERNATIVES TO STANDARDIZED TESTING. Washington, DC: National Education Association, Division of Instruction and Professional Development, 1977. ED 190 591.

STANDARDIZED ACHIEVEMENT TESTING. Washington, DC: National School Boards Association, 1977. ED 152 797.

Stiggins, Richard J. EVALUATING STUDENTS BY CLASSROOM OBSERVATION: WATCHING STUDENTS GROW. Washington, DC: National Education Association, 1984.

This Digest was prepared for the ERIC Clearinghouse on Tests, Measurement, and Evaluation, 1985.

This publication was prepared with funding from the Office of Educational Research and Improvement, U.S. Department of Education, under OERI contract. The opinions expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect the positions or policies of OERI or the Department of Education.

Title: Alternatives to Standardized Tests. ERIC Digest.

Note: An ERIC Digest published in the ERIC/TME Update Series.

Document Type: Information Analyses---ERIC Information Analysis Products (IAPs)

(071); Information Analyses---ERIC Digests (Selected) in Full Text (073);

Available From: ERIC Clearinghouse on Tests, Measurement, and Evaluation,

Educational Testing Service, Princeton, NJ 08541-0001 (free).

Descriptors: Achievement Tests, Educational Testing, Evaluation Methods,

Standardized Tests, Student Evaluation

Identifiers: Alternative Assessment, ERIC Digests

###



[Return to ERIC Digest Search Page]

